



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPI	ICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
1	0/812,290	03/29/2004	Kazumi Iida	FY.16880US1DV	2261	
:	20995 7590 10/12/2005			EXAMINER		
	KNOBBE MA 2040 MAIN ST	ARTENS OLSON &	SWINEHART, EDWIN L			
	OURTEENTI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
]	RVINE, CA	92614		3617		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		1,1,,
Advisory Action	10/812,290	IIDA ET AL
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ed Swinehart	3617

٦	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,290	IIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ed Swinehart	3617

Before the Filling of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Ed Swinehart	3617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
HE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims.						
NOTE: New issue is amdt. to claims 33 and 42.	-	,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	•	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		·						
the non-allowable claim(s).	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-38,42-48</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
10. [] Stilet		Edwinehart						
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3617						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)